The Four Types of Stablecoins: A Comparative Analysis

March 18th, 2023

Matthias Hafner, Marco Henriques Pereira, Juan Beccuti and Helmut Dietl

UZH Blockchain Center, University of Zurich

Center for Cryptoeconomics, Swiss Economics

Informal Systems

The Paper in a Nutshell

Research question

How can stablecoins be categorized and what are their economic advantages and disadvantages?

Method

Agent-based modeling: Simulations for each stablecoin category

Results

Danger of crash after demand shock greater for stablecoins with endogenous collateral

Research Question: Motivation

Fast growth of stablecoins

Increasing demand for stablecoins & number of stablecoins

Market cap of stablecoins has risen to over $ 150 bn in few years

Crash of Stablecoin TerraUSD & recent USDC depeg

How stable are stablecoins?

What are the differences between various types of stablecoins?

Limited knowledge about stablecoins

State of the literature

Research Question: Literature

Moin, Sekniqi, and Sirer (2020):
Peg, collateral, mechanism and method to receive the reference price information

Klages-Mundt and Minca (2021):
Rebase, Seigniorage Share and Partial-Collateral

Zhao, Li, and Yuan (2021):
Custodial and non-custodial stablecoins

Kahya, Krishnamachari, and Yun (2021):
Degree of centralization; fiat, asset-backed or fiat equivalent stable digital currencies, crypto-collateralized and algorithmic stablecoins

Many other classifications: E.g., Clark, Demirag, and Moosavi (2019), Berentsen and Schär (2019)

Stablecoin Matrix: Classification of Stablecoins

	Centralized	Decentralized
Exogenous	Tether	Dai
Endogenous	Terra	Synthetix

First dimension: Collateral value

- External source (e.g., gold that is held in reserve)
- Internal source (i.e., from a crypto asset that is part of the same ecosystem such as Terra)

Second dimension: Collateral management

- Central entity/mechanism manages pooled collateral and decides when to expand and contract supply
- Individuals manage their own collateral decentrally and mint and burn stablecoins to adjust supply

Method: Agent-Based Simulation of the Four Stablecoins

Monte Carlo experiment to test stability conditions and incentives

Simulation of various stablecoins

- Agents: User, investor, and issuer
- Assets: Stablecoins, collateral, peg
- Demand of users: Depends on collateral level, fees, and randomness
- Price of collateral: Exogenous (simulated) & endogenous (discounted future earnings)
- Price stablecoin: Demand / supply

Data: Simulated using Geometric Brownian Motion (Monte Carlo experiment)

Results: Demand I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Demand I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Demand I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Demand I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Demand I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Price I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Price I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Price I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Price I



Tether-like stablecoin (exogeneous)



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

Results: Price II



Terra-like stablecoin (endogeneous)

1. Demand schock stablecoin ($coin_{Stable}$)
2. ↓ Demand $coin_{stable}$
3. ↓ Future profits native coin ($coin_{native}$)
4. ↓ Demand $coin_{native}$
5. ↓ Value $coin_{native}$
6. ↓ Collateral value $coin_{stable}$
7. If critical collateral level is hit:
   ↓ Demand $coin_{stable}$

→ **Danger of death spiral for stablecoins with endogenous collateral**

Results: Simulation vs. Reality

Tether-like stablecoin (exogenous)

Terra-like stablecoin (endogenous)

Conclusion

Stablecoins have different designs

Critical: What is the collateral and how is it managed?

→ ”Type” of collateral has different effects on the agents and can help explain their behaviors

Which stablecoins are more stable?

→ Danger of crash greater for stablecoins with endogenous collateral

→ Preliminary: Danger of bank run greater for stablecoins with centralized collateral management

Policy recommendation:

→ Policy maker should in particular be **careful with stablecoins** whose collateral value is **endogenous** and **centrally managed** (Terra-like stablecoin)

Q & A